Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,898	BROAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dean O. Takaoka	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Dean O. Takaoka</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David J. Cushing</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103(a)		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Tyrrell, Marie		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/803,898

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated January 27, 2005, it was the position of the Examiner that the claims, and in particular claim 1, remained obvious in view of Tyrrell. Tyrrell shows plural apertures (12 or 13), each comprising an inner and outer edges facing the center of iris plate 5 comprising inwardly curved protrusions. It was agreed to further define claim 1 where the aperture comprises plural curved protrusions of hemicycle shape extending into the aperture, such as shown in Fig. 1 by the Applicant, where Tyrrell would only comprise one hemicycle edge extending into the aperture per aperture, thus placing the claims in condition for allowance.